| | | plined Office | | Sanction | | | | | | Synopsis | |
|-----------|---------|---------------|----------|----------|------|--------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Rank | - | First Nan 🕆 | Last Nan | Termin | Demo | Suspen | # of Days Suspe | Other Sanction - | Resigned/Retired/Transfer | Sustained Char 🕶 | Description |
| Sheriff's | Officer | Amanda | Kuchta | No | No | Yes | 6 | | No | Conduct | Subject Officer received a 6-day suspension for her |
| | | | | | | | | | | Unbecoming a | actions during a motor vehicle stop and the |
| | | | | | | | | | | Public Employee | subsequent arrest of an individual for curfew |
| | | | | | | | | | | Incompetency, | violations and criminal charges. There were |
| | | | | | | | | | | Inefficiency OR | inconsistencies found in S/O Kuchta's incident |
| | | | | | | | | | | Failure to Perform | report, specifically that the driver spat directly at her |
| | | | | | | | | | | Duties | which did not align with observed body worn |
| | | | | | | | | | | General Order, | camera footage. The footage showed the subject |
| | | | | | | | | | | Traffic | spit to the side, and not directly at the officer. The |
| | | | | | | | | | | Enforcement and | inconsistency found in the incident report violated |
| | | | | | | | | | | Control - Motor | departmental policies and procedures, rules and |
| | | | | | | | | | | Vehicle Stops | regulations concerning accuracy, proper reporting |
| | | | | | | | | | | | and the handling of motor vehicle stops. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |